UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,610	03/24/2006	Kevin Jon Williams	W1107/20010	7982
31717 7590 09/23/2008 CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD. Attn: PTO Customer No. 31717			EXAMINER	
			HARRIS, ALANA M	
,	11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/525,610	WILLIAMS, KEVIN JON			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 67 and 168-182 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 67 and 168-182 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and 10 acceptable acceptable and 10 acceptable and	wn from consideration. r election requirement.	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) \(\int \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/525,610 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group 112 (claims 67 and 168-182) in the reply filed on June 12, 2008 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 67 and 168-182 are pending.

Claims 1, 6-13, 57, 58, 60, 64, 66, 78, 93, 110, 146-149, 158 and 165-167 have been canceled.

Claim 67 has been amended.

Claims 67 and 168-182 are examined on the merits, SEQ ID NO: 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 67 and 168-182 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Independent claim 67 reads on a kit comprising a binding agent capable of bind a thrombospondin fragment or fragments. Applicants' specification acknowledges that binding agents may be antibodies, as well as non-protein molecules, derivatives or combinations thereof, see page 14, Binding...section. However, Applicants' claims embody a host of molecules that range in structure and conformation. The binding agent has not been identified in the claims and consequently the term embodies any molecule.

Page 3

Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. 112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 115).

With the exception of an antibody that binds thrombospondin and fragments thereof, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed structure or activity of all binding agents and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and a reference to a potential method of isolating it. The polypeptide itself is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ 2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. V. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Lts.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016.

Furthermore, In *The Reagents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly* (43 USPQ2d 1398-1412), the court held that a generic statement which defines a genus of nucleic acids by only their functional activity does not provide an adequate written description of the genus. The court indicated that while Applicants are not required to disclose every species encompassed by a genus, the description of a genus is achieved

Application/Control Number: 10/525,610 Page 4

Art Unit: 1643

by the recitation of a representative number of DNA molecules, usually defined by a nucleotide sequence, falling within the scope of the claimed genus. At section B(1), the court states that "An adequate written description of a DNA...'requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties', not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention".

The specification does not evidence the possession of all the possible binding agents to be implemented in the claimed invention, nor does the specification identify a plethora of agents other than an antibody that binds thrombospondin fragments.

The full breadth of the claims do not meet the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 168, 169-172 are 176-178 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Claim 168 recites amino acid residues, such as I-165, however the claim does not set forth a corresponding amino acid sequence, i.e. SEQ ID NO: x from which a residue is contained within.
- b. Claim 169 lacks antecedent bases for the recitation, "the TSP Ab-4 antibody" in the last line of the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,610 Page 5

Art Unit: 1643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

9. Claims 67 and 168-176 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 98/07035 (published 19 February 1998). The WO document discloses a kit including antibodies that detect thrombospondin fragments (collectively referred to as "ADP") in a body fluid, see abstract; bridging paragraph of pages 11 and 12. The disclosed antibodies cross-react with 67-80 kDa and 20kDa fragments of thrombospondin-1, see page 7, 2nd paragraph; and page 9, 1st full paragraph. Absent evidence to the contrary, the disclosed thrombospondin contains a domain and/or portion within the protease-resistant core of thrombospondin, said domain being

Art Unit: 1643

selected from the group consisting of a domain of inter-chain disulfide bonds, an oligomerization domain, a procollagen-like domain, a type 1 repeat, a type 2 repeat, and a type 3 repeat, a portion of a collagen type V binding domain and an epitope for binding TSP Ab-4 antibody.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-0831. The Examiner works a flexible schedule, however she can normally be reached between the hours of 7:30 am to 6:30 pm, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Larry R. Helms, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,610

Page 7

Art Unit: 1643

Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. 02 September 2008 /Alana M. Harris, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643